JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

006872

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI Eastern Division

SHARYON F. ULBRICH,)
Plaintiff,) Cause No.) 4-
vs.)) JURY TRIAL
BELL ELECTRICAL) DEMANDED
CONTRACTORS, INC., d/b/a BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS,))
Serve:)
CT Corporation System)
Registered Agent 120 South Central Avenue)
and)
MDU RESOURCES GROUP, INC.,)
Serve:)
CT Corporation System)
Registered Agent 314 E. Thayer Avenue)
Bismark, ND 58502))
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT (& Demand For Jury Trial)

Comes now Plaintiff Sharyon F. Ulbrich (hereinafter "Ulbrich"), by and through her undersigned counsel, and complains as follows.

006873

- 1. This action arises under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C., §§ 621 et seq., and other federal statutes and law. It involves primarily a single count of unlawful age discrimination in employment, in violation of 29 U.S.C., § 623, alleged by a single plaintiff against a pair of closely related corporate defendants. The Court thus has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action under 28 U.S.C., § 1331.
- 2. All causes of action alleged in this complaint arose in St. Louis County. Most, if not all, of the acts and omissions underlying this complaint occurred in St. Louis County. One of the two defendants, the corporation that employed and terminated the plaintiff, now conducts business in the County and conducted business in the County at all times pertinent to this complaint. Thus, venue lies in this Eastern Division of the Eastern District of Missouri. E.D.Mo. L.R. 3-2.07 (A) (1) & (B) (2).
- 3. This complaint also substantially realleges its single federal unlawful age discrimination count as a single count of age discrimination cognizable under the Missouri Human Rights Act, R.S.Mo. § 213.010 et seq. This Court has pendent jurisdiction of that state claim under 28 U.S.C., § 1367 (a).

PRIOR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

- **4.** Attached as Exhibits 1 & 2 are the state and federal complaints that Ulbrich dual-filed with the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC") and the Missouri Commission on Human Rights (hereinafter "MCHR") on June 17, 2008.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit 3 is the Right to Sue letter that issued for the single administrative complaint from the MCHR on or about November 5, 2008.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

006874

6. Attached as Exhibit 4 is the Right to Sue letter that issued for the single administrative complaint from the EEOC on or about December 2, 2008.

PARTIES

- 7. Ulbrich resides at 2625 Plantation Point in St. Charles, St. Charles County, Missouri, within the Eastern Division of the Eastern District. At all times pertinent to this action, she resided at that same address. At all times pertinent to this action through her termination by the defendant on February 27, 2008, she was employed by the defendant Bell Electrical Contractors, Inc., at its offices in St. Louis County.
- 8. Defendant Bell Electrical Contractors, Inc. (hereinafter "Bell"), is, and at all times pertinent to this action was, a Missouri business corporation conducting business as Bell Electrical Contractors in St. Louis County with a principal place of business at 128 Millwell Drive in Maryland Heights.
- 9. Defendant MDU Resources Group, Inc. (hereinafter "MDU"), is a Delaware corporation not registered to do business in Missouri. Nonetheless, MDU has conducted business in Missouri at all times pertinent to this action and continues to conduct business in Missouri without being registered.

COUNT I

(unlawful age discrimination in discharging plaintiff on February 27, 2008)

- 10. Ulbrich went to work for Bell as a marketer on or about April 8, 1991.
- 11. Ulbrich was born on January 30, 1943, and turned 65 on January 30, 2008.
- 12. Ulbrich remained employed at Bell for over sixteen years through February 27, 2008, working in marketing over that entire period.

- 13. Ulbrich underwent annual written employee evaluations at Bell. Her written annual employment reviews in June of 2006 and June of 2007 both were good. Neither indicated any reason for her to be concerned for her position at Bell as a marketing coordinator. Copies of the 2006 and 2007 annual reviews are attached to this complaint as Ex-
- **14.** After June, 2007, and through the date of her discharge, Ulbrich continued work as a marketing coordinator for Bell at the same level of competency and dedication acknowledged in her 2006 and 2007 annual reviews through the morning of February 27, 2008.

hibits 5 & 6.

- 15. On the morning of February 27, 2008, Ulbrich was out on the road on Bell business when she received a phone call, summoning her back to the Bell offices. She returned immediately, met with the president upon her return, and quickly learned that she was discharged from Bell that day.
- 16. The February 27, 2008, discharge was a complete surprise to Ulbrich. The reason Bell's president gave her for the sudden discharge had to do with a single advertising account and generally poor sales performance; and nobody had given Ulbrich any warning or any indication that her handling of the account was problematic.
- 17. Ulbrich never was an executive with Bell. At the time of her discharge, her annual salary was \$62,114.
- **18.** Ulbrich's discharge was not in accordance with any mandatory employement program. In fact, Ulbrich knows of no such program at Bell applicable to any employees.
- 19. Ulbrich did not have any retirement benefit rights of which she is aware other than her 401(k) account, and she received no such retirement or termination benefit upon her

discharge. When Bell fired her, they paid her only salary through her discharge date, two days of severance pay, and three weeks of accumulated vacation pay.

- 20. Bell unlawfully discharged Ulbrich because of her age in violation 29 U.S.C. § 623(a)(1) and in violation of other applicable law.
- 21. Bell acted willfully in discharging Ulbrich unlawfully; and Ulbrich is entitled to liquidated damages in accordance with the provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 626(b).
- 22. Ulbrich also is entitled to an award of her attorney's fee and costs in accordance with 29 U.S.C. §§ 626 & 216(b).
- 23. At all times pertinent to this action, Bell acted either as an agent for, as a partner with, or as a joint venturer with MDU in all its dealings with Ulbrich.
- 24. Various documents pertaining to Ulbrich's employment at Bell were prepared by MDU on MDU stationery or forms, including but not limited to the 2006 and 2007 annual employee reviews attached to this complaint as Exhibits 5 & 6, indicating plainly that Ulbrich's employment at Bell was for the benefit of both Bell and MDU and substantially controlled by MDU.
- 25. At least for the last several years of her employment at Bell, Ulbrich understood herself to be working for both Bell and MDU, with MDU being the corporate parent of Bell.
- 26. Ulbrich's negotiations in this matter prior to the filing of this complaint in this Court were virtually exclusively with MDU rather than with Bell; and, in all the circumstances, it was plain to Ulbrich that it was MDU, not Bell, making all employer decisions in those negotiations.

WHEREFORE, Ulbrich prays for judgment in her favor and against Bell and MDU, jointly and severally, for compensatory and liquidated damages and damages of any kind

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

006877

recoverable under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, for any allowable prejudgment interest, for her attorney's fees and costs, and for such additional relief as this Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II – MISSOURI HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

(unlawful age discrimination in discharging plaintiff on February 27, 2008)

27. The facts alleged herein in Count I make out a violation of the unlawful employment

practices provisions of R.S.Mo. § 213.055.1(1)(a).

28. Ulbrich requested a Right to Sue letter from the MHRC and received a letter from

the MHRC dated November 5, 2008.

29. R.S.Mo. § 213.111 affords Ulbrich a cause of action for this violation and entitles

her to compensatory damages, punitive damages, and an award of her attorney fees and

costs.

WHEREFORE, Ulbrich prays for judgment in her favor and against Bell and MDU,

jointly and severally, for compensatory and punitive damages, for any allowable pre-

judgment interest, for her attorney's fees and costs, and for such additional relief as this

Court deems appropriate.

The Schindler Law Firm, P.C.

Joshua M. Schinder, #37891

141 N. Meramec Avenue, Suite 201

St. Louis, MO 63105

(314) 862-1411

(314) 862-1701 Fax

josh@schindlerlawfirm.com

Attorney for Sharyon F. Ulbrich

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		1	DEFENDANTS		
Sharyon F. Ulbrich				al Contractors, In ntractors, MDU Res	c., d/b/a Bell ources Group, Inc.
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff St. Charles Co	unty	County of Residence o	f First Listed Defendant	St. Louis County
()	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	
(NOTE: IN LAND	O CONDEMNATION CASES, US	•
			i e	NVOLVED.	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Joshua M. Scindler,	The Schindler Law Firm, 141 No	orth			
Meramec, Suite 201,					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def	PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and H	Principal Place
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			of Business In A	
	(Billion Charles in Coll action in Figure 11)	Citiza	en or Subject of a	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
			reign Country	3 C 3 Foleign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CONTRACT	TORTS	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		10 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury		20 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault Libel & Product Liability		30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 🔲 368 Asbestos Person		40 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers Injury Product Liability Liability		50 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE		60 Occupational Safety/Health	U 840 frademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud		90 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	•	10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage	ge 7:	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability	0 7	30 Labor/Mgmt Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION	ONE D 7	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	□ \$65 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting 510 Motions to Vaca	ate \Box 75	90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	M 442 Employment Sentence		91 Empl Ret Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	į į	Security Act	371 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations			26 USC 7609	Act
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & O	ther		ŀ	 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
	Employment 🗍 550 Civil Rights				to Justice
	1 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	an.			950 Constitutionality of
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	ļ			State Statutes
— · — ·	an "X" in One Box Only)	O 4 p since	☐ 5 Transf	ferred from 6 Multidistreet 6 Multidistreet	Appeal to District Judge from
Careman 10	emoyed from U 3 Remanded from tate Court Appellate Court	Rems Reop	stated or — anome	a district manden	nct Magistrate
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you				Judginent
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	42 U.S.C. Sect. 2000 e-2;				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause: Employment Discrimination				
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO	N DI	EMAND S	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			JURY DEMAND:	'
	7(6)			VOILI DEMILLID	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions): JUDGE)		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATUREO	TORKEY (OF RECORD		
1. []			77		
147100	- HI	me			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

Sharyon F. Ulbrich			
plaintiff, V. Bell Electrical Contractors, Inc., d/b/a Bell Electrical Cont., et al , defendant.))) Case No.))		
ORIGINAL	FILING FORM		
THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLETED AN INITIATING A NEW CASE.	D VERIFIED BY THE FILING PARTY WHEN		
——I'HIS CAUSE, OR A SUBSTANTIALLY	EQUIVALENT COMPLAINT, WAS		
PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS COURT AS CASE NUMBER			
AND ASSIGNED TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE			
NEITHER THIS CAUSE, NOR A SUBST	FANTIALLY EQUIVALENT COMPLAINT,		
PREVIOUSLY HAS BEEN FILED IN THIS	COURT, AND THEREFORE MAY BE		
OPENED AS AN ORIGINAL PROCEEDING	3 .		
The undersigned affirms that the information	on provided above is true and correct.		

Signature of Filing Party

Case: 4:08-cv-01913-ERW Doc. #: 1 Filed: 12/10/08 Page: 9 of 14 PageID #: 9

006880

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI Eastern Division

SHARYON ULBRICH,)
Plaintiff,) Cause No.) 4
VS.))) JURY TRIAI
) DEMANDED
BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS,)
INC., d/b/a BELL ELECTRICAL)
CONTRACTORS, MDU RESOURCES)
GROUP, INC.)
)
Defendants.)

NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE PROCESS SERVER

COMES NOW, Plaintiffs Sharyon Ulbrich, by and through her attorney, Joshua M.

Schindler, of the Schindler Law Firm, and hereby notifies the court of the intent to use

the following process server:

Michael Barbieri PDI Investigations, Inc. 211 South Central Avenue, Suite 101 Clayton, MO 63105

to serve Defendant Bell Electrical Contractors, Inc., d/b/a Bell Electrical Contractors; and,

Burleigh County Sheriff's Department Civil Division Box 1416 Bismarck, ND 58502-1416

to serve Defendant MDU Resources Group, Inc.

006881

The process server listed above possesses the requirements as stated in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The undersigned affirms the information provided is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted

Datad

Jashua M. Schindler, #22593

The Schindler Law Firm, P.C.

141 N. Meramec, Suite 201

St. Louis, MO 63105

(314)862-1411 - Telephone

(314)862-1701 - Facsimile

Attorneys for Plaintiff Sharyon Ulbrich

United States District Court

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

SHARYON F. ULBRICH,

Plaintiff,

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

006882

V.

CASE NUMBER:

BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, INC., d/b/a BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS and MDU RESOURCES GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

TO: (Name and address of defendant)

CT Corporation System, Registered Agent Bell Electrical Contractors, Inc., d/b/a Bell Electrical Contractors 120 South Central Avenue Clayton, MO 63105

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Joshua M. Schindler, Esq. 141 North Meramec, Suite 201 Clayton, MO 63105

an answer to the complaint which is herewith ser	rved upon you, within	20	days after
service of this summons upon you, exclusive of the	day of service. If you fail to d	o so, judgment by d	efault will be taken
against you for the relief demanded in the complain reasonable period of time after service.	t. You must also file your ansv	ver with the Clerk of	fthis Court within a
CLERK	DATE		
	57.1.2		
(DV) DEBUTY OF EBY			

Case: 4:08-cv-01913-ERW Doc. #: 1 Filed: 12/10/08 Page: 12 of 14 PageID #: 12 006883

	RETURN OF SERV	
Service of the Summons and Complaint w	as made by met	DATE
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)		TITLE
Check one box below to indicate appropria	te method of service	
Served personally upon the defendant	. Place where served:	
Left copies thereof at the defendant's dy discretion then residing therein. Name of person with whom the summ		ace of abode with a person of suitable age and
Returned unexecuted:		
Other (specify):		
	TATEMENT OF SERVIC	
TRAVEL	VICES	TOTAL
	DECLARATION OF SEI	RVER
		·
information contained in the Return of		United States of America that the foregoing t of Service Fees is true and correct.
	Service and Statemen	
information contained in the Return of Executed on	Service and Statemen	t of Service Fees is true and correct.
information contained in the Return of Executed on	Service and Statemen	t of Service Fees is true and correct. ure of Server

006884

United States District Court

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

SHARYON	F.	ULBRICH,
		Plaintiff,

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

v.

CASE NUMBER:

BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS, INC., d/b/a BELL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS and MDU RESOURCES GROUP, INC.,

Defendants.

TO: (Name and address of defendant)
CT Corporation System, Registered Agent
MDU Resources Group, Inc.
314 E. Thayer Avenue
Bismark, ND 58502

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Joshua M. Schindler, Esq. 141 North Meramec, Suite 201 Clayton, MO 63105

an answer to the complaint which is herewith s	served upon you, within		days after
service of this summons upon you, exclusive of t	he day of service. If you fail to do	so, judgment by o	lefault will be taken
against you for the relief demanded in the compla reasonable period of time after service.	nint. You must also file your answ	er with the Clerk o	f this Court within a
CLERK	DATE		
(DV) DEDUTY OF EDIC			

Case: 4:08-cv-01913-ERW Doc. #: 1 Filed: 12/10/08 Page: 14 of 14 PageID #: 14 006885

AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action			
RETUR	N OF SERVICE		
Service of the Summons and Complaint was made b	y met		
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE		
Check one box below to indicate appropriate method	of service		
Served personally upon the defendant. Place who have the copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house discretion then residing therein. Name of person with whom the summons and compared to the compared	se or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and		
TRAVEL SERVICES	OF SERVICE FEES TOTAL		
DECLARA	TION OF SERVER		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the information contained in the Return of Service and Executed on	e laws of the United States of America that the foregoing and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server		
			
	Address of Server		